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Background

- Expect computers and network devices to:
  - Do the functions we desire
  - Have good performance and adequate capacity
  - These criteria constitute the initial baseline
- Things are happening constantly
  - Services running (e.g., firewall, virus scanning, login)
  - User input processing (e.g., keyboard, mouse)
  - User output processing (e.g., screen updates)
  - Network handling (e.g. packet inspection and storage)
  - OS operation (e.g., paging, file management)
- 1000 to 1,000,000+ things per day, depending on:
  - volume of processing/device
  - number of devices in managed network
“Things that happen” are events
  - Come from OS, IDS, services, applications, database, computer/network hardware monitors, user activity
  - Often indicate change of state
  - A message describing event may be recorded
  - Vary in importance from informational to critical

Normal events are expected

Abnormal events are unexpected
  - Includes missing events
Events Examples

- **Linux Syslog**

  Feb 12 04:19:34 consensus ntpd[1921]: time reset +0.808076 s
  Feb 12 04:26:01 consensus ntpd[1921]: synchronized to 140.142.1.8, stratum 2
  Feb 12 13:12:09 consensus syslogd 1.4.1: restart.
  Feb 12 13:12:09 consensus kernel: klogd 1.4.1, log source = /proc/kmsg started.
  Feb 12 13:12:09 consensus kernel: Linux version 2.6.17-1.2187_FC5smp (brewbuilder@hs20-bc2-2.build.redhat.com) (gcc version 4.1.1 20060525 (Red Hat 4.1.1-1)) #1 SMP Mon Sep 11 01:32:34 EDT 2006

- **Windows EventLog**

  Event Type:   Failure Audit
  Event Source: Security
  Event Category:  Account Logon
  Event ID: 680
  Date: 2/14/2007
  Time: 4:26:32 PM
  User: NT AUTHORITY\SYSTEM
  Computer:  AUTH1
  Description: Logon attempt by: MICROSOFT_AUTHENTICATION_PACKAGE_V1_0
  Logon account: joe
  Source Workstation: \WWW
  Error Code: 0xC0000064
Recording Events

- Most events not recorded -- why?
  - Default: too many events
    - not enough time/space/people/expertise
  - No built-in mechanism to create event message
  - Mechanism exists, but not enabled

- Log files record event messages
  - Local or remote files

- Log files must be managed
  - May consume all storage
    - Could cause denial of service
  - Excessive information ignored; key events overlooked

- Log files can be processed online (real-time) or offline
Recording Events (cont.)

- Not interested in all event messages
  - Only those that are the source or symptoms of problems
  - Only the first time a problem is reported, not every time
  - Maybe only those that occur a certain number of times, during a certain span of time, or both
  - Maybe only when an event is followed by a related event
  - Maybe only when a particular sequence of events occurs
- But how do you determine what is interesting? Later.
- Unix & Cisco syslogs; Windows EventLogs
- Rotate logs to reduce storage concerns
  - Overwrite oldest when size threshold reached
  - Keep n days, then overwrite oldest
Log File Monitoring vs. Correlation

- Many tools monitor logs for problems
  - LogWatch, LogSurfer, Swatch
  - rule: condition-> action: if event x occurs, then do y
    - x is interesting because it is in a rule
    - x must exist in the log files
  - Often analyzed well after the events have occurred

- Correlation: determine what happened; e.g,
  - Summarize sequence of events or record when number of events exceeds threshold by creating new event
  - Uninteresting events may be removed to reduce volume
  - Analyze logs: uncover patterns that will match events
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Event Operations

- **Filter**: select which events
- **Consolidate**: many events combined into one
- **Aggregate**: store events on some basis
- **Compress**: reduce number of similar events
- **Normalize**: convert to predefined form
- **Enrich**: add information to event
- **Generate**: tool creates new events
- **Correlate**: determine how to relate events
Examples of Detectable Incidents

- virus scanner turned off
- same alerts from Intrusion Detection System (IDS)
- login message with failed password message
- fast-growing disk consumption or network traffic
- many network ports being scanned from same IP
- many logins during off-hours
- multiple accounts failing to login
- system time not synchronized periodically
Modeling Behavior

- What is normal activity? Must represent it
  - Periodic events
  - Sequence of events
  - Combination of events
  - Frequency of events
- Allows detection of missing events
- Allows verification of normal operation
- Disadvantages
  - Initial cost to model is high
  - Must maintain model over time
Modeling Topology

- What does our system look like?
  - What devices are there?
  - What services are there?
  - How do they depend on each other?
- Graph-based representation
- Helps determine source or “root cause” of event
  - e.g., is a service down because a network device failed?
- Often used for mapping networks
Correlating Events

- **Correlate**: assign a meaning to events
  - Pair: associate one event with another
  - Count: similar events occurring in time period
    - Threshold event: exceeds preset amount
    - Frequent event: amount per time period
  - Thread: combine related events
    - Sequence: events occur in order
    - Unordered: events are not related by time
- Deduplicate: suppress subsequent same events
- User-defined
Reason for Event Correlation

- **/var/log/messages**
  Feb 14 19:31:10 gate2 pam_winbind[27607]: request failed: No such user, PAM error was User not known to the underlying authentication module (10), NT error was NT_STATUS_NO_SUCH_USER
  
  **Feb 14 19:31:10 gate2 sshd(pam_unix)[27607]: authentication failure; logname= uid=0 euid=0 tty=sshruser= rhost=c-24-19-144-115.hsd1.wa.comcast.net  user=labadmin**
  Feb 14 19:31:14 gate2 pam_winbind[27607]: request failed: No such user, PAM error was User not known to the underlying authentication module (10), NT error was NT_STATUS_NO_SUCH_USER
  Feb 14 19:31:18 gate2 pam_winbind[27607]: request failed: No such user, PAM error was User not known to the underlying authentication module (10), NT error was NT_STATUS_NO_SUCH_USER
  Feb 14 19:31:22 gate2 sshd(pam_unix)[27607]: 5 more authentication failures; logname= uid=0 euid=0 tty=sshruser= rhost=c-24-19-144-115.hsd1.wa.comcast.net  user=labadmin
  Feb 14 19:31:22 gate2 sshd(pam_unix)[27607]: service(sshd) ignoring max retries; 6 > 3

- **/var/log/secure**
  Feb 14 19:31:13 gate2 sshd[27607]: Failed password for labadmin from ::ffff:24.19.144.115 port 1876 ssh2
  Feb 14 19:31:17 gate2 sshd[27607]: Failed password for labadmin from ::ffff:24.19.144.115 port 1876 ssh2
  Feb 14 19:31:20 gate2 sshd[27607]: Failed password for labadmin from ::ffff:24.19.144.115 port 1876 ssh2
Correlating Events (cont.)

- How to correlate?
  - Formulate rule
    - Express condition-action pairs
    - Seem natural; can be readable and maintainable
  - Build statistical model
    - Related events have statistical similarities in attributes
      - Attributes are key parts of events
      - Use probabilities from prior events to relate current event
  - Develop codebook
    - Encode representative set of attributes or events
    - Closest match of current encoding to saved encodings
  - Build neural net (auto-associative)
    - Create clusters based on similar attributes
    - Clusters of events are correlated; non-clustered are interesting
Commercial Approaches

- According to Gartner (2006):
  - All: accept and process events; alert on critical events; take corrective action where possible
  - Often-employed Technologies
    - Network-centric approach, with auto-discovery
    - Automatic analysis of root cause
    - Help with defining/detecting abnormal events
    - Model and/or rule-based correlation
  - Frontrunners (usually expensive)
    - HP OpenView, IBM Tivoli, CA Unicenter (?), Microsoft Operations Manager
  - Specialized, upcoming or not as popular (some low-cost)
Rule Based Correlation: SEC

- Simple Event Correlator, by Risto Vaarandi
  - Rule-based
  - Can process multiple input streams, static and dynamic
  - Can generate events, and save/refer to state
  - Written in Perl for portability and pattern-matching
  - Handles most event operations and allows scheduling
    - Match single event, match paired events, compress, count with thresholds and frequency
  - Fairly efficient
  - Used widely for IDS, fault detection, etc.
  - Free, with several good documents on how to use
    - From author and contributors
Reason for Event Correlation

- /root/rules/login_failed.cfg

  # Sample input:
  # /var/log/messages
  # Feb 14 19:31:10 gate2 sshd(pam_unix)[27607]: authentication failure; logname= uid=0 euid=0 tty=ssh ruser=
  #     rhost=c-24-19-144-115.hsd1.wa.comcast.net  user=labadmin
  # /var/log/secure
  # Feb 14 19:31:13 gate2 sshd[27607]: Failed password for labadmin from ::ffff:24.19.144.115 port 1876 ssh2

  type=Pair
  ptype=RegExp
  pattern=\[(\d+)\]: authentication failure;.+? rhost=(\S+)\s+user=(\S+)
  desc=authentication failure pid $1, user $3 from host $2
  action=write - authentication failure, but no failed password for $3 from host $2

  ptype2=RegExp
  pattern2=\[(\d+)\]: Failed password for \S+
  desc2=Failed password for $2
  action2=write - Failed password for $2
  window=30

- perl /usr/local/sbin/sec --conf=/root/rules/login_failed.cfg --input=/var/log/messages --input=/var/log/secure
Future Directions

- Already areas of research, but expect more investigation of and improvements in:
  - automatic detection of rules/patterns
  - integration and use of databases
  - integration of modeling and analysis
  - mining of event data
  - performance improvements
  - standardization of events
Conclusion

- Events are a necessary part of computing
- Handling events is labor-intensive and error-prone
- Many tools exist to assist system admins in:
  - filtering large numbers of events
  - determining the root cause of a problem
  - modeling events
  - correlating events
  - minimizing alerts
- By using these tools, you may be able to improve the availability and security of your systems
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